SUNY Potsdam Administrative Unit Assessment Report and Improvements

Administrative Unit: Office of Institutional Effectiveness

Unit Contact -- Name: Judy Singh Phone: x 2188 Email Address: singhjr@potsdam.edu

Date Submitted: October 6th 2020

Mission Statement: The mission of the Office of Institutional Effectiveness at SUNY Potsdam is to ensure student success and promote strategic planning and continuous data-driven improvement of academic and non-academic programs and services by facilitating data collection, analysis and reporting of the assessment of student learning, institutional assessment, and institutional research.

Goals	Desired Outcomes/Objectives	Assessment Methods and Targets	Results	Planned Improvements Based on Assessment Results
1. Serve as the university's primary data source for accountability reports to Federal and State governments, and various educational and commercial agencies. Ensure the integrity of data reported.	A. Respond to mandated Federal Reporting with accuracy and by deadline (see attached schedule) B. Respond to mandated State Reporting with accuracy and by deadline (see attached schedule) C. Respond to external surveys with accuracy and by deadline (see attached schedule)	internal review of completion records in: • Federal Reporting Schedule log • State Reporting Schedule log • External survey log 100% on-time completion for all above	See Attached List of Federal Reports, State Reports, SIRIS submissions, SUNY submissions, External Surveys.	1A) IPEDS is always submitted on-time and our External Surveys have been submitted by the due date as well. Our SIRIS submissions have improved each year with the most recent year having all but one submitted by the deadline. We expect these to stay on-time for the future. The same with NYSED reports. We work with multiple departments to ensure the data is accurate. The reason we have done better with submissions

				recently is that we have started the process earlier. We are still hoping to create some error reports that inform various departments of inaccurate data prior to our running our processes.
2. Provide accurate and timely research and analysis of institutional data to the campus community for the purpose of guiding institutional decisions and support student success	A. Accurate, timely reports prepared will meet the information needs expressed by the Office of the President and the Office of the Provost (Faculty Efficiency, Faculty Workload, Workforce Statistics) B. Produce and distribute institutional data reports on scheduled basis (See Attached List/Schedule) C. Respond to ad hoc requests in an accurate timely manner	2A1. Review of deadlines versus submitted dates of reports – 100% on-time completion 2A2. Gap analysis of data provided and data requested to identify additional reports that need to be produced 2A3. Respond to Offices of the President and the Provost feedback on how well existing reports meets needs and recommend modifications 2B1. Review schedule and actual distribution dates of reports – 100% on-time completion 2B2. Gap analysis of data provided and data requested to identify additional reports that need to be produced 2B3. OIE Data Request/Report satisfaction survey – 90%	See attached list of routine Internal reports.	2A1/2B1. More recently, the Workload report was disbursed later than we had hoped, but much of that had to do with the pandemic. We expect that the data will be disbursed on time for Fall 2020 and Spring 2021. 2A2/2B2/2C1) We are doing a better job of managing the RT tracker email and more requests are coming through that way. Additionally, staff is adding most data requests to the ticket system so that we can track that information. The vast majority of requests have been handled in a timely manner with accurate data submitted to

3. Lead, coordinate and provide	A. Provide professional	satisfaction (Qu. 6 on survey) 2C1. Review Data Requests and assess response time – respond to all requests within one week 2C2. Fulfill all actionable requests in a timely manner based on priorities of office 3A. Review feedback	Since the last time	different groups. 2B3) We have not conducted a survey. For the future, we hope
guidance to departments regarding the outcomes assessment process and the reporting associated with the activities/process.	development, guide and assist departments/units with the creation of their Assessment Plans (academic and administrative) B. Provide best practice suggestions and assessment resources C. Coordinate and lead the Campus Academic Assessment Committee D. Ensure website is up-to-date with plans to ensure transparency throughout the Assessment process	from assessment sessions and one-on-one meetings – 90% satisfaction with guidance provided 3A2. Review requests for assistance in creating assessment plans – 100% of requests fulfilled 3A3. Review response time of feedback to departments/units after submission of assessment plans/reports – Respond to submissions within 4 weeks 3B1. Review distribution of resources 3C1. Meeting minutes, attendance, agendas 3C2. Review feedback from CAAC members regarding assessment process and committee purposes – 80% satisfaction with committee's role (IE	we submitted our Administrative Assessment report, Academic Assessment has been moved out of the IE office and back to the Provost's office. Our office still uploads reports and plans to the web site, but the Assessment Coordinator works with departments to get these completed.	to allow the Assessment Coordinator to upload data on their own so that they do not need to wait for the IE office to update the website.

		survey)		
		3D1. Review website		
		updates – All plans		
		posted are within the 3		
		year cycle		
4. Provide leadership	A. Provide data and support	4A1/4B1. Review log of	A1/B1) The IE	The IE office will work
throughout the University's	departments through their	data requests for	office worked with	with President's Council
accreditation process and	Program Review	Program Review or	the Dean's office	to collect
support the research and	B. Provide data and support	accreditation self-studies	and Assessment	Administrative
evaluation efforts of	departments with	purposes fulfill all	Coordinator to	Assessment reports and
administrative offices and	accreditation self-studies	reasonable requests	update the Program	plans from all offices
academic departments on	C. Effectively communicate and	within 4 weeks	Review Guide (Self-	that are required to
campus	coordinate compliance with	4A2/4B2. Summary of	Study) and the IE	submit. In spring 2021,
	the MSCHE accreditation	feedback from OIE survey	office created a	the IE office will send
	requirements/standards	- 90% satisfaction with	resource list of	out reminders to those
		service/report provided	where Departments	who need to submit a
		(IE Survey)	can find	report in 2021. In
		4C1. Continued	information. The IE	spring 2024, the IE
		maintenance of	office also ensures	office will send out
		Assessment Plan websites –All	that all requests go	reminders to those who
			through our RT tracker so that we	need to submit a report in 2024. The IE office
		plans/reports posted within 3 year cycle	can manage all the	will also provide
		within 3 year cycle	requests.	support to any
			A2/B2) We did not	department that needs
			complete a survey.	assistance with
			C1) The Provost's	completing a report or
			office has taken	plan. After this cycle for
			over the task of	Administrative
			monitoring the	Assessment, we will
			cycle for both	update the web page, as
			Program Review	we created some
			(Self-Study) and	temporary deadlines for
			Academic	the campus.
			Assessment, though	•
			the IE office often	
			assists in looking	
			over the Academic	

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
			Assessment reports.	
			For Administrative	
			Assessment, the IE	
			office proposed a	
			new process in	
			spring 2020.	
			Reports and Plans	
			will now have to go	
			through a VP for	
			approval before	
			being posted to the	
			website and the	
			cycle was changed	
			to four years for	
			everyone. Right	
			now the IE office is	
			collecting final	
			reports from the	
			last cycle and new	
			plans for the next	
			cycle.	
5. Support institutional	A. Assist campus units in the	5A1. Number of surveys	5A1, 5B1, 5D1) Not	We will continue to
assessment through the	design and creation of	and other	long after the last	assist departments in
administration of assessment	assessment activities and	assistance requests	Assessment Report,	the creation and
tools and the analysis and	paper-based or electronic	fulfilled for campus	our internal survey	administration of
interpretation of data collected	surveys	units/departments -	software stopped	surveys as time permits.
	B. Coordinate the	Respond to all requests	working. The IE	
	administration of in-house	within 4 weeks	office secured new	The IE office has
	electronic-based surveys for	5B1. Review in-house	software that has	developed a new survey
	campus units	survey administration	allowed other	policy for 2020-21. In
	C. Facilitate the University's	outcomes – All surveys	campus	the spring semester, the
	participation in national	administered without any	departments to	IE office will need to
	benchmarking surveys	issues	manage their own	remind and educate the
	involving students, faculty, and	5C1. Review response	surveys. The IE	campus that they must
	staff (see attached schedule)	rates of national surveys	office still assists in	receive approval for any
	D. Share survey results and	- Response rates >=	the development	electronic surveys. This
	findings to foster a culture of	national average	and maintenance of	allows us to keep track
	converting data into strategic	5C2. Administration	a few surveys, but	of the surveys being

information and action	outcomes of national	most departments	administered and as a
	surveys (necessary	take care of their	result, reduces survey
	components in place (ie	own information.	fatigue.
	population files),	The IE office	
	adherence to protocols) –	provides a semi-	
	100% compliance	annual summary of	
	5D1. Review time lapse to	data from the HR	
	provide summary data to	Exit survey and will	
	campus unit/department	extract data from	
	provide results within	the Bias Response	
	6 weeks of	form on an "as-	
	administration	needed" basis.	
	5A2/5B2/5D2. Summary	5C1, 5D1) The IE	
	of feedback from OIE	office manages	
	satisfaction – 90%	multiple national	
	satisfaction (IE survey qu.	surveys. Most of	
	5 & 6)	the response rates	
		have been within	
		the expected	
		outcome compared	
		to other campuses,	
		however, in spring	
		2018, there were	
		four surveys sent	
		out to students.	
		That year, response	
		rates for some of	
		the surveys were	
		lower, likely due to	
		survey fatigue. The	
		NSSE survey from	
		spring 2020,	
		however, had a	
		higher than	
		expected response	
		rate, especially	
		among first-year	
		students.	

	Additionally, the	
	campus has seen	
	higher than average	
	response rates for	
	pandemic-related	
	-	
	surveys. 5A2/5B2/5D2) We	
	did not conduct a	
	survey.	